arXiv:1603.03762v1 [math.CA] 11 Mar 2016

MONOTONICITY OF ZEROS OF JACOBI-ANGELESCO POLYNOMIALS

ELIEL J. C. DOS SANTOS

Abstract. We study the monotonic behaviour of the zeros of the multiple Jacobi-Angelesco orthogonal polynomials, in the diagonal case, with respect to the parameters α, β and γ. We prove that the zeros are monotonic functions of α and γ and consider some special cases of how the zeros depend on β, especially in the presence of symmetry. As a consequence we obtain results about monotonicity of zeros of Jacobi-Laguerre and Laguerre-Hermite multiple orthogonal polynomials too.

1. Introduction

There are two types of multiple orthogonal polynomials:

Type I: For a multi-index $\overrightarrow{n} = (n_1, \ldots, n_r)$ the vector $\left(A_{\overrightarrow{n},1}(x), \ldots, A_{\overrightarrow{n},r}(x)\right)$ of r polynomials, where $A_{\overrightarrow{n},j}(x)$ has degree at most $n_j - 1$, is called a type I system of multiple orthogonal polynomials if

$$\sum_{j=1}^{r} \int x^k A_{\overrightarrow{n},j}(x) d\mu_j(x) = 0, \quad k = 0, 1, \ldots, |\overrightarrow{n}| - 2, \tag{1.1}$$

and

$$\sum_{j=1}^{r} \int x^{|\overrightarrow{n}|-1} A_{\overrightarrow{n},j}(x) d\mu_j(x) = 1.$$

Type II: A monic polynomial $P_{\overrightarrow{n}}(x)$ of degree $|\overrightarrow{n}|$ is said to be a type II multiple orthogonal polynomial if

$$\int x^k P_{\overrightarrow{n}}(x) d\mu_j(x) = 0 \ \text{ for } \ k = 0, 1, \ldots, n_j - 1 \ \text{ and } \ j = 1, \ldots, r. \tag{1.2}$$

An Angelesco system is a set of r different measures $(\mu_1, \ldots, \mu_r)$ such that the convex hull of the support of each measure $d\mu_i$ is an interval $[a_i, b_i]$ and the open intervals (a_i, b_i) are disjoint. The following fundamental result holds (see [2, pag. 609]):

Theorem 1.1. *Let $P_{\overrightarrow{n}}$ be a multiple orthogonal polynomial polynomial of type II with $\overrightarrow{n} = (n_1, \ldots, n_r)$ and a the corresponding measures $(\mu_1, \ldots, \mu_r)$. Suppose that the support of each measure μ_i has infinite points. Then $P_{\overrightarrow{n}}$ has n_i zeros in each interval (a_i, b_i), for $i = 1, \ldots, r$.*

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 33C45, 26C10.
Key words and phrases. Jacobi-Angelesco polynomials, zeros, monotonicity.
Research supported by the Brazilian Science Foundation CAPES.

According with this theorem, $P_{\overrightarrow{n}}$ can be represented in the form

$$P_{\overrightarrow{n}}(x) = \prod_{i=1}^{r} q_{\overrightarrow{n},i}(x),$$

where $q_{\overrightarrow{n},i}$ are polynomials with degree n_i and their zeros belong to the respective intervals of orthogonality (a_i, b_i).

In this paper we are interested in the behaviour of the zeros of the multiple Jacobi-Angelesco, Jacobi-Laguerre and Laguerre-Hermite orthogonal polynomials and first we recall their definitions (see [6]). The Jacobi-Angelesco polynomials, denoted by $P_{n,m}^{(\alpha,\beta,\gamma)}(x;a)$, are multiple orthogonal polynomials, with respect to the weight functions $\omega_1(x) = (x-a)^\alpha |x|^\beta (1-x)^\gamma$ in the interval $[a,0]$ and $\omega_2(x) = (x-a)^\alpha x^\beta (1-x)^\gamma$ in the interval $[0,1]$, with $a < 0$ and $\alpha, \beta, \gamma > -1$. In other words,

$$\int_a^0 x^k P_{n,m}^{(\alpha,\beta,\gamma)}(x;a)(x-a)^\alpha |x|^\beta (1-x)^\gamma dx = 0 \quad k = 0, \ldots, n-1, \tag{1.3}$$

and

$$\int_0^1 x^k P_{n,m}^{(\alpha,\beta,\gamma)}(x;a)(x-a)^\alpha x^\beta (1-x)^\gamma dx = 0 \quad k = 0, \ldots, m-1. \tag{1.4}$$

The Jacobi-Laguerre polynomials, denoted by $L_{n,m}^{(\alpha,\beta)}(x;a)$, are multiple orthogonal polynomials, with respect to $\omega_1(x) = (x-a)^\alpha |x|^\beta e^{-x}$ in $[a,0]$ and $\omega_2(x) = (x-a)^\alpha x^\beta e^{-x}$ in $[0,+\infty)$, where $a < 0$ and $\alpha, \beta > -1$. This means that

$$\int_a^0 x^k L_{n,m}^{(\alpha,\beta)}(x;a)(x-a)^\alpha |x|^\beta e^{-x} dx = 0 \quad k = 0, \ldots, n-1 \tag{1.5}$$

and

$$\int_0^{+\infty} x^k L_{n,m}^{(\alpha,\beta)}(x;a)(x-a)^\alpha x^\beta e^{-x} dx = 0 \quad k = 0, \ldots, m-1. \tag{1.6}$$

The third family of multiple orthogonal polynomials are the Laguerre-Hermite ones, denoted by $H_{n,m}^{(\beta)}(x)$, and orthogonal with respect to the weight functions $\omega_1(x) = |x|^\beta e^{-x^2}$ in the interval $(-\infty, 0]$ and $\omega_2(x) = x^\beta e^{-x^2}$ in the interval $[0, +\infty)$, with $\beta > -1$. This is equivalent to

$$\int_a^0 x^k H_{n,m}^{(\beta)}(x) |x|^\beta e^{-x^2} dx = 0 \quad k = 0, \ldots, n-1, \tag{1.7}$$

and

$$\int_0^1 x^k H_{n,m}^{(\beta)}(x) x^\beta e^{-x^2} dx = 0 \quad k = 0, \ldots, m-1. \tag{1.8}$$

These multiple orthogonal polynomials are connected by the following asymptotic relations:

$$L_{n,m}^{(\alpha,\beta)}(x,a) = \lim_{\gamma \to \infty} \gamma^{n+m} P_{n,m}^{(\alpha,\beta,\gamma)}(x/\gamma; a/\gamma) \tag{1.9}$$

and

$$H_{n,m}^{(\beta)}(x) = \lim_{\alpha \to \infty} \sqrt{\alpha}^{n+m} P_{n,m}^{(\alpha,\beta,\alpha)}(x/\sqrt{\alpha}; -1). \tag{1.10}$$

2. Preliminary results

The Jacobi-Angelesco polynomials obey the Rodrigues type formula

$$\omega(x;a,\alpha,\beta,\gamma)P_{n,n}^{(\alpha,\beta,\gamma)}(x;a)=c_n(\alpha,\beta,\gamma)\frac{d^n}{dx^n}\left(\omega(x;a,\alpha+n,\beta+n,\gamma+n)\right), \tag{2.1}$$

where $c_n(\alpha,\beta,\gamma)=(-1)^n\left[(\alpha+\beta+\gamma+2n+1)_n\right]^{-1}$ and $\omega(x;a,\alpha,\beta,\gamma)=(x-a)^\alpha x^\beta(1-x)^\gamma$. Hence,

$$\begin{aligned}\omega(x;a,\alpha,\beta,\gamma)P_{n,n}^{(\alpha,\beta,\gamma)}(x;a)&=\frac{c_n(\alpha,\beta,\gamma)}{c_{n-1}(\alpha+1,\beta+1,\gamma+1)}\\ &\times\frac{d}{dx}\left[c_{n-1}(\alpha+1,\beta+1,\gamma+1)\frac{d^{n-1}}{dx^{n-1}}\left(\omega(x;a,\alpha+n,\beta+n,\gamma+n)\right)\right]\\ &=c_n(\alpha,\beta,\gamma)\frac{d}{dx}\left[\frac{\omega(x;a,\alpha+1,\beta+1,\gamma+1)P_{n-1,n-1}^{(\alpha+1,\beta+1,\gamma+1)}(x;a)}{c_{n-1}(\alpha+1,\beta+1,\gamma+1)}\right].\end{aligned} \tag{2.2}$$

Let us define the auxiliary functions

$$R_{n-k,n-k}^{(\alpha+k,\beta+k,\gamma+k)}(x;a):=\frac{\omega(x;a,\alpha+k,\beta+k,\gamma+k)P_{n-k,n-k}^{(\alpha+k,\beta+k,\gamma+k)}(x;a)}{c_{n-k}(\alpha+k,\beta+k,\gamma+k)}, \tag{2.3}$$

for $k=0,\dots n-1$.

Denote the zeros of the diagonal Jacobi-Angelesco polynomial $P_{n,n}^{(\alpha,\beta,\gamma)}(x;a)$ by $x_{n,j}(a,\alpha,\beta,\gamma)$, ordered in an increasing way according with the index j, where $j=1,\dots,2n$. Using the Rodrigues formula (2.1) we obtain

(2.4)

$$\begin{aligned}\omega(x;a,\alpha,\beta,\gamma)P_{n,n}^{(\alpha,\beta,\gamma)}(x;a)&=\frac{c_n(\alpha,\beta,\gamma)}{c_{n-1}(\alpha+1,\beta+1,\gamma+1)}\\ &\times\Big[\omega'(x;a,\alpha+1,\beta+1,\gamma+1)P_{n-1,n-1}^{(\alpha+1,\beta+1,\gamma+1)}(x)\\ &+\omega(x;a,\alpha+1,\beta+1,\gamma+1)P_{n-1,n-1}^{(\alpha+1,\beta+1,\gamma+1)\prime}(x)\Big],\end{aligned}$$

so that

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{c_{n-1}(\alpha+1,\beta+1,\gamma+1)P_{n,n}^{(\alpha,\beta,\gamma)}(x;a)}{c_n(\alpha,\beta,\gamma)\omega(x;a,\alpha,\beta,\gamma)P_{n-1,n-1}^{(\alpha+1,\beta+1,\gamma+1)}(x;a)}&=\frac{\omega'(x;a,\alpha+1,\beta+1,\gamma+1)}{\omega(x;a,\alpha+1,\beta+1,\gamma+1)}\\ &+\frac{P_{n-1,n-1}^{(\alpha+1,\beta+1,\gamma+1)\prime}(x;a)}{P_{n-1,n-1}^{(\alpha+1,\beta+1,\gamma+1)}(x;a)}.\end{aligned} \tag{2.5}$$

Therefore, $P_{n,n}^{(\alpha,\beta,\gamma)}(x;a)=0$ for some $x\in(a,0)\cup(0,1)$ if and only if

$$\frac{P_{n-1,n-1}^{(\alpha+1,\beta+1,\gamma+1)\prime}(x;a)}{P_{n-1,n-1}^{(\alpha+1,\beta+1,\gamma+1)}(x;a)}+\frac{\omega'(x;a,\alpha+1,\beta+1,\gamma+1)}{\omega(x;a,\alpha+1,\beta+1,\gamma+1)}=0,$$

or equivalently,

$$\sum_{j=1}^{2(n-1)}\frac{1}{x-x_{n-1,j}(a,\alpha+1,\beta+1,\gamma+1)}+\frac{\alpha+1}{x-a}+\frac{\beta+1}{x}-\frac{\gamma+1}{1-x}=0. \tag{2.6}$$

Let us denote the left-hand side of (2.6) by $f_{n-1}(x;a,\alpha+1,\beta+1,\gamma+1)$. Observe that another way to write the function $f_{n-1}(x;a,\alpha+1,\beta+1,\gamma+1)$ is

$$f_{n-1}(x;a,\alpha+1,\beta+1,\gamma+1)=\frac{R_{n,n}^{(\alpha,\beta,\gamma)}(x;a)}{R_{n-1,n-1}^{(\alpha+1,\beta+1,\gamma+1)}(x;a)}. \tag{2.7}$$

The zeros of $P_{n,n}^{(\alpha,\beta,\gamma)}(x)$ coincide with the zeros of $f_{n-1}(x;a,\alpha+1,\beta+1,\gamma+1)$ belonging to the set $(a,0)\cup(0,1)$. For the sake of brevity, the intervals bounded by the zeros of $P_{n,n}^{(\alpha,\beta,\gamma)}(x)$ and the points a, 0 and 1, are denoted by $I_{n,j}(a,\alpha,\beta,\gamma)$, with $j=1,\dots,2n+1$. We prove a lemma concerning to the behaviour of the functions $f_{n-1}(x;a,\alpha+1,\beta+1,\gamma+1)$ in these intervals.

Lemma 2.1. *For every $n\in\mathbb{N}$ the function $f_{n-1}(x;a,\alpha+1,\beta+1,\gamma+1)$ obeys the following properties:*

i) $f_{n-1}(x;a,\alpha+1,\beta+1,\gamma+1)$ *is decreasing in the intervals $I_{n-1,j}(a,\alpha+1,\beta+1,\gamma+1)$, for $j=0,\dots,2n-1$;*

ii) *The limit relations*

$$\lim_{x\to x_{n-1,j}^{\pm}(a,\alpha+1,\beta+1,\gamma+1)} f_{n-1}(x;a,\alpha+1,\beta+1,\gamma+1)=\pm\infty,$$

hold for $j=1,\dots,2n-2$. Moreover, the same limits hold at the points a, 0 and 1;

iii) $f_{n-1}(x;a,\alpha+1,\beta+1,\gamma+1)$ *possesses a unique zero in each interval $I_{n-1,j}(a,\alpha+1,\beta+1,\gamma+1)$, for $j=0,\dots,2n-1$;*

iv) *Both the positive and the negative zeros of $P_{n-1,n-1}^{(\alpha+1,\beta+1,\gamma+1)}(x)$ and $P_{n,n}^{(\alpha,\beta,\gamma)}(x)$ interlace in the sense that*

$$x_{n,j}(\alpha,\beta,\gamma)<x_{n-1,j}(\alpha+1,\beta+1,\gamma+1)<x_{n,j+1}(\alpha,\beta,\gamma),\quad j=1,\dots,n-1$$

and

$$x_{n,j}(\alpha,\beta,\gamma)<x_{n-1,j-1}(\alpha+1,\beta+1,\gamma+1)<x_{n,j+1}(\alpha,\beta,\gamma),\quad j=n+1,\dots,2n-1.$$

Proof. For the proof of *i)* observe that the derivative

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{\partial}{\partial x}\left[f_{n-1}(x;a,\alpha+1,\beta+1,\gamma+1)\right]=&-\sum_{j=1}^{2n}\frac{1}{(x-x_{n-1,j}(a,\alpha+1,\beta+1,\gamma+1))^2}\\&-\frac{\alpha+1}{(x-a)^2}-\frac{\beta+1}{x^2}-\frac{\gamma+1}{(1-x)^2},\end{aligned}$$

is negative in the intervals $I_{n-1,j}(a,\alpha+1,\beta+1,\gamma+1)$, for every $j=1,\dots 2n-1$. Hence, $f_{n-1}(x,a,\alpha+1,\beta+1,\gamma+1)$ are decreasing in the intervals $I_{n-1,j}(a,\alpha+1,\beta+1,\gamma+1)$ for every $j=1,\dots,2n+1$ and $n\geq 0$.

Item *ii)* follows from

$$\begin{aligned}&\lim_{x\to x_{n-1,j}^{\pm}(a,\alpha+1,\beta+1,\gamma+1)} f_{n-1}(x;a,\alpha+1,\beta+1,\gamma+1)\\&=\lim_{x\to x_{n-1,j}^{\pm}(a,\alpha+1,\beta+1,\gamma+1)}\frac{1}{x-x_{n-1,j}(a,\alpha+1,\beta+1,\gamma+1)},\end{aligned}$$

which readily implies

$$\lim_{x\to x_{n-1,j}^{\pm}(a,\alpha+1,\beta+1,\gamma+1)} f_{n-1}(x;a,\alpha+1,\beta+1,\gamma+1)=\pm\infty,$$

for $j = 1, \ldots, 2n-2$. Similarly, since $\alpha+1,\ \beta+1$ and $\gamma+1$ are positive, we obtain the same limit relations at the points $a,\ 0$ and 1.

According to $i)$ and $ii)$ the function $f_{n-1}(x; a, \alpha+1, \beta+1, \gamma+1)$ takes all real values exactly once in each interval $I_{n-1,j}(a, \alpha+1, \beta+1, \gamma+1)$, $j = 1, \ldots, 2n-1$. The monotonicity yields that there is a unique $\overline{x} \in I_{n-1,j}(a, \alpha+1, \beta+1, \gamma+1)$ such that

$$f_{n-1}(\overline{x}; a, \alpha+1, \beta+1, \gamma+1) = 0$$

and this proves $iii)$.

Finally we remark that the statement of item $iii)$, together with (2.2), implies that both the positive and negative zeros of $P_{n-1,n-1}^{(\alpha+1,\beta+1,\gamma+1)}(x)$ and $P_{n,n}^{(\alpha,\beta,\gamma)}(x)$ interlace and $iv)$ holds. □

3. Monotonic behaviour of the zeros of Jacobi-Angelesco, Jacobi-Laguerre and Laguerre-Hermite polynomials

The problem about the monotonic behaviour of zeros of multiple orthogonal polynomials was formulated by Ismail [2, Problem 24.1.5]. The lack of a result like Markov's theorem (see [5, Theorem 6.12.1]) or other tools, such as Sturm's comparison theorem or the Hellmann–Feynman theorem, that are commonly employed to study monotonicity of zeros of orthogonal polynomials makes Ismail's problem hard.

In this note we prove a specific result concerning to the monotonic behaviour of the zeros of Jacobi-Angelesco polynomials in the so-called diagonal case. It is proved that all zeros are monotonically increasing functions of α and decreasing functions of γ. The monotonicity with respect to β is more peculiar: we believe that the n zeros in $[a, 0]$ decrease while the n zeros in $[0, 1]$ increase when β increases. We are able to prove this fact in the particular case when $a = -1$ and $\alpha = \gamma$. The monotonic behaviour of Jacobi-Laguerre and Laguerre-Hermite polynomials will be obtained as a corollary.

Theorem 3.1. *Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $P_{n,n}^{(\alpha,\beta,\gamma)}(x),\ n \geq 1$ be the corresponding diagonal Jacobi-Angelesco polynomials. Then:*

- *a) all zeros of $P_{n,n}^{(\alpha,\beta,\gamma)}(x)$ are increasing with respect to the parameter α;*
- *b) the negative zeros of $P_{n,n}^{(\alpha,\beta,\gamma)}(x)$ are decreasing with respect to the parameter β and the positive zeros are increasing with respect to β, provided $a = -1$ and $\alpha = \gamma$;*
- *c) the zeros of $P_{n,n}^{(\alpha,\beta,\gamma)}(x)$ are decreasing with respect to the parameter γ.*

Proof. When it is clear from the context, we skip the parameters in some of the expressions, especially if they are considered to be fixed. For instance, in $a)$ we are interested in the behaviour of the zeros with respect to the parameter α, so that $P_{n,n}^{(\alpha,\beta,\gamma)}(x; a)$ will be abbreviated to $P_{n,n}^{(\alpha)}(x)$.

We prove only item $a)$ and in item $b)$ we shall deal only with the monotonic behaviour of the negative zeros. The arguments in the other cases are quite similar.

First we establish $a)$ by induction with respect to n. For this purpose we define the functions

$$h_k(x; \alpha+n-k, \delta) := f_k(x; \alpha+n-k) - f_k(x; \alpha+\delta+n-k) \tag{3.1}$$

for $0 \leq k \leq n-1,\ n \geq 1$ and $\delta > 0$.

For $n=1$ the zeros of $P_{1,1}^{(\alpha)}(x)$ coincide with the zeros of $f_0(x;\alpha+1)$. Since

$$h_0(x;\alpha+1,\delta)=-\frac{\delta}{x-a},$$

we obtain $h_0(x;\alpha+1,\delta)<0$, for every $x>a$, and then

$$f_0(x_{1,j}(\alpha);\alpha+\delta+1)>0,\quad j=1 \text{ or } j=2.$$

Using item *iii)* of Lemma 2.1 we conclude that there are no zeros of $f_0(x;\alpha+\delta+1)$ and consequently of $P_{1,1}^{(\alpha+\delta)}(x)$ in the intervals $(a,x_{1,1}(\alpha)]$ or $(0,x_{1,2}(\alpha)]$, because $f_0(x;\alpha+\delta+1)$ doesn't change him sign in these intervals. Thus

$$x_{n,j}(\alpha)<x_{n,j}(\alpha+\delta),\quad \text{for}\quad j=1,2.$$

Therefore, the zeros of $P_{1,1}^{(\alpha)}(x)$ are increasing functions of the parameter α.

Suppose that the zeros of $P_{n-1,n-1}^{(\alpha+1)}(x)$ are increasing with respect to α, for $n\geq 2$ arbitrarily fixed, namely that

$$x_{n-1,j}(\alpha+\delta+1)=x_{n-1,j}(\alpha+1)+\xi_{n-1,j}(\delta),$$

for $j=1,\ldots,2n-2$ with $\xi_{n-1,j}(\delta)>0$, for every $\delta>0$.

We shall analyze how the fact that α increase affects the zeros of $P_{n,n}^{(\alpha}(x)$, having in mind that under the induction hypothesis that the zeros of $P_{n-1,n-1}^{(\alpha+1)}$ increase with α. In order to this we verify the sign of the function $h_{n-1}(x;\alpha+1,\delta)$ in the intervals

$$\begin{gathered}(a,x_{n-1,j}(\alpha+1));\\ (x_{n-1,j}(\alpha+\delta+1),x_{n-1,j+1}(\alpha+1)),\ j=1,\ldots,n-2;\\ (x_{n-1,n-1}(\alpha+\delta+1),0);\\ (0,x_{n-1,n}(\alpha+1));\\ (x_{n-1,j}(\alpha+\delta+1),x_{n-1,j+1}(\alpha+1)),\ j=n,\ldots,2n-3;\\ (x_{n-1,2n-2}(\alpha+\delta+1),1).\end{gathered}\tag{3.2}$$

Since the positive and the negative zeros of $P_{n-1,n-1}^{(\alpha+1)}(x)$ and $P_{n,n}^{(\alpha)}(x)$ are interlacing, the continuity of the zeros with respect to the parameter α allows us to choose $\delta>0$ small enough such that

$$x_{n-1,j}(\alpha+1)<x_{n-1,j}(\alpha+\delta+1)<x_{n,j+1}(\alpha+1)\tag{3.3}$$

for $j=1,\ldots,n-1$ and

$$x_{n-1,j}(\alpha+1)<x_{n-1,j}(\alpha+\delta+1)<x_{n,j+2}(\alpha+1)\tag{3.4}$$

for $j=n,\ldots,2n-2$. Since

$$x_{n,i}(\alpha)-x_{n-1,j}(\alpha+1)>x_{n,i}(\alpha)-x_{n-1,j}(\alpha+1)-\xi_{n-1,j}(\delta)$$

for $j=1,\ldots,2n-2$ and $i=1,\ldots,2n$, we obtain

$$\sum_{j=1}^{2n-2}\frac{1}{x_{n,i}(\alpha)-x_{n-1,j}(\alpha+1)}<\sum_{j=1}^{2n}\frac{1}{x_{n,i}(\alpha)-x_{n-1,j}(\alpha+\delta+1)},\tag{3.5}$$

so that

$$h_{n-1}(x_{n,i}(\alpha);\alpha+1,\delta)<0,\tag{3.6}$$

for $i=1,\ldots,2n$. Hence,

$$f_{n-1}(x_{n,i}(\alpha);\alpha+\delta+1)>f_{n-1}(x_{n,i}(\alpha);\alpha+1),$$

for $i=1,\dots,2n$. Since $f_{n-1}(x_{n,i}(\alpha);\alpha+1)=0$ for $i=1,\dots,2n$, we conclude that

$$f_n(x_{n,i}(\alpha);\alpha+\delta+1)>0,$$

for $i=1,\dots,2n$.

Apply again *iii)* of the Lemma 2.1 to conclude that there are no zeros of $P_{n,n}^{(\alpha+\delta)}(x)$ in the intervals

$$(a,x_{n,1}(\alpha)]\,,$$
$$(x_{n-1,j}(\alpha+1),x_{n,j+1}(\alpha)]\,,\quad j=1,\dots,n-1,$$
$$(0,x_{n,n+1}(\alpha)]\,,$$

and

$$(x_{n-1,j}(\alpha+1),x_{n,j+2}(\alpha)]\,,\ j=n,\dots,2n-2$$

because $f_{n-1}(x;\alpha+\delta+1)$ does not change sign in these intervals.

Therefore, the zeros of $P_{n,n}^{(\alpha+\delta)}(x)$ must belong to the intervals

$$x_{n,j+1}(\alpha+\delta)\in(x_{n,j+1}(\alpha),x_{n-1,j+1}(\alpha+1))\,,\ j=0,\dots,n-2,$$
$$x_{n,n}(\alpha+\delta)\in(x_{n,n}(\alpha),0)\,,$$
$$x_{n,j+2}(\alpha+\delta)\in(x_{n,j+2}(\alpha),x_{n-1,j+1}(\alpha+1))\,,\ j=n-1,\dots,2n-3$$

and

$$x_{n,2n}(\alpha+\delta)\in(x_{n,2n}(\alpha),1)\,.$$

In other words, the zeros of $P_{n,n}^{(\alpha+\delta)}(x)$ are located "to the right" with respect to the corresponding zeros of $P_{n,n}^{(\alpha)}(x)$.

We prove *b)* only for the zeros that belong to the interval $(-1,0)$ because the proof concerning those in $(0,1)$ is similar for reasons of symmetry. Indeed, when $\alpha=\gamma=\lambda-1/2$ the Rodrigues formula (2.1) yields

$$P_{n,n}^{(\lambda-1/2,\beta,\lambda-1/2)}(x)=\sum_{i=0}^{n}\binom{n}{i}(-\beta-n)_i\kappa_{n-i}(\lambda)C_{n-i}^{(\lambda+i)}(x)x^{n-i},$$

where $C_n^{(\lambda)}(x)$ are the classical Gegenbauer polynomials and

$$\kappa_n(\lambda)=\frac{(-1)^n\,(2\lambda)_n}{2^n\,(\lambda+1/2)_n\,n!}.$$

Since $x^{n-i}C_{n-i}^{(\lambda+i)}(x)$ are even polynomials, we conclude that $P_{n,n}^{(\lambda-1/2,\beta,\lambda-1/2)}(x)$ are even polynomials too. Hence, in this case the zeros of $P_{n,n}^{(\lambda-1/2,\beta,\lambda-1/2)}(x)$ are symmetric with respect to the origin.

The proof of *b)* also goes by induction with respect to n. Let us define

$$h_k(x;\beta+n-k,\delta):=f_k(x;\beta+n-k)-f_k(x;\beta+\delta+n-k) \tag{3.7}$$

for $0\le k\le n-1,\ n\ge 1$ and $\delta>0$.

For $n=1$ the negative zeros of $P_{1,1}^{(\beta)}(x)$ are the zeros of $f_0(x;\beta+1)$. Since

$$h_0(x;\beta+1,\delta)=-\frac{\delta}{x}$$

then $h_0(x;\beta+1,\delta)>0$, for every $-1<x<0$, so that

$$f_0(x_{1,1}(\beta);\beta+\delta+1)<0.$$

Using *iii)* of Lemma 2.1, we conclude that $f_0(x;\beta+\delta+1)$, and consequently $P_{1,1}^{(\beta+\delta)}(x)$, does not vanish in the interval $[x_{1,1}(\alpha),0]$, because these functions do not change sign there. Therefore,

$$x_{1,1}(\beta) > x_{1,1}(\beta+\delta).$$

This is equivalent to the fact that the negative zero of $P_{1,1}^{(\beta)}(x)$ decreases when the parameter β increases.

Suppose that the negative zeros of $P_{n-1,n-1}^{(\beta+1)}(x)$ are decreasing with respect to the parameter β, for some $n \geq 2$ arbitrarily fixed, namely that

$$x_{n-1,j}(\beta+\delta+1) = x_{n-1,j}(\beta+1) - \xi_{n-1,j}(\delta),$$

for $j = 1,\ldots,n-1$ with $\xi_{n-1,j}(\delta) > 0$, for $\delta > 0$. As a consequence of the symmetry of the zeros, we have $x_{n-1,j}(\beta+\delta+1) = -x_{n-1,2n-2-j}(\beta+\delta+1)$, so if we take $\delta > 0$ small enough, such that

$$x_{n,j}(\beta+1) < x_{n-1,j}(\beta+\delta+1) < x_{n-1,j}(\beta+1), \tag{3.8}$$

for $j = 1,\ldots,n-1$, we obtain

(3.9)

$$h_{n-1}(x;\beta+1,\delta) = \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} 2x\left[\frac{1}{x^2 - x_{n-1,j}^2(\beta+1)} - \frac{1}{x^2 - x_{n-1,j}^2(\beta+\delta+1)}\right] - \frac{\delta}{x}.$$

Every term of the latter sum vanishes at the origin and is positive for $x \in (-1, x_{n-1,j}(\beta+\delta+1)) \cup (x_{n-1,j}(\beta+1), 0)$. This implies that

$$h_{n-1}(x_{n,i}(\beta);\beta+1,\delta) > 0, \quad i = 1,\ldots,n. \tag{3.10}$$

Hence,

$$f_{n-1}(x_{n,i}(\beta);\beta+\delta+1) < f_{n-1}(x_{n,i}(\beta);\beta+1),\ i = 1,\ldots,n.$$

The fact that $f_{n-1}(x_{n,i}(\beta);\beta+1) = 0,\ i = 1,\ldots,n$, implies

$$f_{n-1}(x_{n,i}(\beta);\beta+\delta+1) < 0,\ i = 1,\ldots,n.$$

Again, like in the first step, using *iii)* of Lemma 2.1, we conclude that $P_{n,n}^{(\beta+\delta)}(x)$ does not posses zeros in the intervals

$$(x_{n,j}(\beta), x_{n-1,j}(\beta+1)],\ j = 1,\ldots,n-1,$$

and

$$(x_{n,n}(\beta), 0]$$

because there are no sign changes of there.

Therefore, the negative zeros of $P_{n,n}^{(\beta+\delta)}(x)$ belong to the intervals

$$x_{n,1}(\beta+\delta) \in (-1, x_{n,1}(\beta)),$$

and

$$x_{n,j+1}(\beta+\delta) \in (x_{n-1,j}(\beta+1), x_{n,j+1}(\beta)),\ j = 1,\ldots,n-1.$$

In other words, the zeros of $P_{n,n}^{(\beta+\delta)}(x)$ are all located "to the left" with respect to the zeros of $P_{n,n}^{(\beta)}(x)$. This completes the prove of *b)*. □

It is worth mentioning that an alternative way to prove the monotonicity of the zeros of $P_{n,n}^{(\alpha,\beta,\gamma)}(x)$ with respect to α and γ is to employ a modification of a classical result of Markov [3] (see also [4, Lemma 2.7.1] and [1, Lemma 1]) which states that all the zeros of the derivative of a polynomial with real zeros are monotonic functions of each zero of the polynomial itself.

As direct consequences of Theorem 3.1, (1.9) and (1.10) we obtain:

Corollary 3.2. *The zeros of Jacobi-Laguerre polynomials $L_{n,n}^{(\alpha,\beta)}(x,a)$ are increasing functions of the parameter α.*

and

Corollary 3.3. *The negative zeros of Laguerre-Hermite polynomials $H_{n,n}^{(\beta)}(x)$ are decreasing functions and its positive zeros are increasing functions of the parameter β.*

References

1. D. K. Dimitrov, On a conjecture concerning monotonicity of zeros of ultraspherical polynomials, J. Approx. Theory 85 (1996), 88–96.
2. M. E. H. Ismail, Classical and Quantum Orthogonal Polynomials in One Variable, Cambridge University Press, first paperback edition, 2009.
3. V. Markov, On functions least deviated from zero on a given interval, St. Petersburg, 1892 [in Russian]; Über Polynome die in einen gegebenen Intervalle möglichst wenig von Null abweichen, (W. Markoff, transl.), Math. Ann. 77 (1916), 213–258. [German transl.]
4. T. J. Rivlin, Chebyshev Polynomials: From Approximation Theory to Algebra and Number Theory, 2nd ed., Wiley, New York, 1990.
5. G. Szego, *Orthogonal Polynomials*, AMS-Colloquium publications, volume XXIII, forth edition, 1975.
6. W. Van Assche and E. Coussement, Some classical multiple orthogonal polynomials, J. Comput. Appl. Math. 127 (2001), 317–347.

IMECC, Universidade Estadual de Campinas, Campinas-SP, Brasil
E-mail address: elielubarana@gmail.com